

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/562,309	ANDERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PHUONG BUI	1638	

All Participants:

(1) PHUONG BUI.

(2) Anne Carlson.

Date of Interview: 15 April 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorized claim amendments by Examiner's amendment to delete the complementary noncoding sequence, delete "heterologous", add comparative basis to "increased" language, and cancel nonelected claims not rejoined under In re Ochiai.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)